

### **Energy-Based Legged Robots Terrain Traversability Modeling via Deep Inverse Reinforcement Learning**

Lu Gan, Jessy W. Grizzle, Ryan M. Eustice, and Maani Ghaffari

Robotics Institute, University of Michigan

IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems

## Introduction

• Terrain modeling (TTM) vs. end-to-end learning for robot navigation and exploration



- Classical methods for TTM:
  - Classification: semantic-based
  - Regression: geometry-based, proprioceptive







### **Current TTM for Legged Robots**

#### • Our previous work (2022)

• Geometry-based + Semantic-based





[1] Gan et al. "Multitask Learning for Scalable and Dense Multilayer Bayesian Map Inference." IEEE TRO (2022).





### **Current TTM for Legged Robots**

- Wellhausen et al. (2019)
  - Ground reaction score
- Faigl et al. (2019)
  - Maximum forward velocity, attitude stability
- Fan *et al.* (2021)
  - Risks from collision, step, slippage, etc.
- Manually defined traversability





Wellhausen et al. "Where should I walk? predicting terrain properties from images via self-supervised learning." *IEEE RAL* 4.2 (2019): 1509-1516.
 Faigl et al. "On unsupervised learning of traversal cost and terrain types identification using self-organizing maps." *ICANN*. Springer, Cham, 2019.
 Fan et al. "STEP: Stochastic traversability evaluation and planning for risk-aware off-road navigation" *RSS* (2021).





### **IRL-Based TTM for Autonomous Vehicles**

- Wulfmeier et al. (2017)
  - Maximum Entropy Deep Inverse Reinforcement Learning (MEDIRL)  $\hat{r}_{ heta}(s) = f(\phi(s); \theta)$
- Zhang et al. (2018), Jung et al. (2021)
  - MEDIRL + handcrafted kinematics/route plan
- when applied on legged robots can suffer from:
  - Low model fidelity due to the incapability of modeling more agile legged robot motion using handcrafted features
  - Suboptimality of demonstrations due to insufficient feedback

[1] Wulfmeier et al. "Large-scale cost function learning for path planning using deep inverse reinforcement learning." *IJRR* 36.10 (2017): 1073-1087.
 [2] Zhang et al. "Integrating kinematics and environment context into deep inverse reinforcement learning for predicting off-road vehicle trajectories." *CoRL* (2018).
 [3] Jung et al. "Incorporating multi-context into the traversability map for urban autonomous driving using deep inverse reinforcement learning." *RAL* 6.2 (2021): 1662-1669.





 $\partial \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}} \quad \partial \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}} \ \partial r$ 

ar al

 $= (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{D}} - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\mu}])$ 

State Visitation Matching

Backpropagation

## Contributions

- We propose to incorporate robot proprioceptive (inertial) feature learning in an IRL framework for legged robots terrain traversability modeling
- We extend the MEDIRL framework into a Trajectoryranked MEDIRL framework and use locomotion energy as trajectory preference label to alleviate suboptimality





## **Problem Formulation**

- We model the process of legged robot walking on local terrain as an agent following a Markov Decision Process (MDP):  $\mathcal{M} = \{S, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}, \gamma, r\}$
- A trajectory is defined as a sequence of state-action pairs followed by the agent:

$$\tau := \{(s_0, a_0), (s_1, a_1), ..., s_{|\tau|})\}$$

- A demonstration  $\mathcal D$  is a set of  $\tau$  collected from expert operation.
- IRL: Given  $\mathcal{M}/r$ , to recover the underlying r explaining  $\mathcal{D}$ .





### **Problem Formulation**

- State space:  $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{S}_p, \mathcal{S}_g\}$
- Action space:  $\mathcal{A} = \{up, down, left, right, end\}$
- Transition function:  $\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{S}_p \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$
- Rewards
  - Path reward:  $r_p:\mathcal{S}_p
    ightarrow\mathbb{R}$
  - Goal reward:  $r_g: \mathcal{S}_g \to \mathbb{R}$
- Traversability cost:  $c = -r_p : \mathcal{S}_p \to \mathbb{R}$





## **Proposed Method**

• Inertial Feature Learning with an Inertial Branch



![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Proposed Method**

- Locomotion Energy ranked Reward Extrapolation
  - Trajectory ranking loss [1]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{i,j} = -\sum_{\tau_i \prec \tau_j} \log \frac{\exp \sum_{s \in \tau_j} r_{\theta,j}(s)}{\exp \sum_{s \in \tau_i} r_{\theta,i}(s) + \exp \sum_{s \in \tau_j} r_{\theta,j}(s)},$$

•  $\tau_i \prec \tau_j$ , if  $e_{\tau_i} < e_{\tau_j}$ , where  $e_{\tau}$  is Average Energy Consumption (AEC), defined using joint torque u and joint displacement q:

$$e_{ au} = \sum_{i=1} \langle |oldsymbol{u}_i|, |\Delta oldsymbol{q}_i| 
angle,$$

Lower AEC 

 higher trajectory rank 

 higher return

[1] Brown et al. "Better-than-demonstrator imitation learning via automatically-ranked demonstrations." CoRL, 2020.

![](_page_9_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_9.jpeg)

## **Proposed Method**

#### Overall framework

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Experiments

#### Dataset Collection

 Dataset is collected by expert operating a quadruped robot platform equipped with Intel RealSense depth camera, IMU and Nvidia Jetson Xavier on different types of terrains on campus

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

Mini-Cheetah Robot with Customized Sensor Suite

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

North Campus at University of Michigan

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Experiments

#### Dataset Generation

- Elevation Map using Elevation Mapping [1]
- Elevation Variance
- Color Map by RGB averaging
- Trajectory using ORBSLAM2 [2]
- IMU raw signals

![](_page_12_Figure_7.jpeg)

Elevation Elevation Var. Color

3<sup>rd</sup> Person View

IMU Signals

Fankhauser et al. "Probabilistic terrain mapping for mobile robots with uncertain localization." *IEEE RAL* 3.4 (2018): 3019-3026.
 Mur-Artal et al. "ORB-SLAM2: An open-source slam system for monocular, stereo, and RGB-D cameras." *IEEE TRO* 33.5 (2017): 1255-1262.

![](_page_12_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_13.jpeg)

# **Environmental Branch Ablation**

#### • IRL Metrics

- Negative Log-Likelihood (NLL)
- Hausdorff Distance (HD)

	NLL ↓	HD ↓
ResNet-34 [1]	0.9490	13.4957
UNet [2]	0.9016	12.0014
ResUNet-34 [3]	0.8419	9.8219

Deo et al. "Trajectory forecasts in unknown environments conditioned on grid-based plans." arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.00735 (2020).
 Ronneberger et al. "U-Net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation." MICCAI. Springer, Cham, 2015.
 Zhang et al. "Road extraction by deep residual U-Net." IEEE GRSL 15.5 (2018): 749-753.

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Environmental Branch Ablation**

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Inertial Feature Learning Evaluation**

- Quantitative Results
  - Better performance shows better model fidelity

	NLL ↓	HD ↓
Ours w/o inertial feature learning	0.8419	9.8219
Baseline method using handcrafted kinematics [1]	0.8821	10.1953
Ours w/ inertial feature learning	0.8419	9.8219

[1] Zhang et al. "Integrating kinematics and environment context into deep inverse reinforcement learning for predicting off-road vehicle trajectories." *CoRL* (2018).

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Inertial Feature Learning Evaluation**

#### Qualitative Results

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Energy-Based Reward Extrapolating Evaluation**

 We simulate Mini-Cheetah to follow the optimal trajectories from both methods on the input elevation map for evaluation.

	MEDIRL	T-MEDIRL
NLL↓	0.7734	0.8132
HD↓	8.1460	9.9126
Accuracy↑	0.4001	0.6412
AEC↓	4.634e-2J	4.179e-2J

The difference in the simulated AEC corresponds to about 7 minutes extra operation.

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Experiment 2: Mini-Cheetah Simulator (Speed x2)

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **Limitations and Future Work**

- The simplified discrete states and actions are unable to fully capture the agile motion capability of a legged robot.
- However, adding more dimensions comes with an exponential increase in computation complexity.
- Designing a hierarchical action and state space is an interesting future research direction.

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

ganlu@umich.edu
https://github.com/ganlumomo/minicheetah-traversability-irl

IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems